

Week 7 Exercises

Exercise 1 `brm()`

Reproduce the familiar negative binomial regression below with `brm()`.

Hints:

1. See `?brms::brmsfamily` for the distributions available in `brm()`.
2. Use `#| results: hide` in your Quarto code chunks to hide the Stan junk output. It's pesky.

```
# load packages
library(glmmTMB)

# load data
holland <- crdata::holland2015

# formula corresponds to model 1 for each city in holland (2015) table 2
f <- operations ~ lower + vendors + budget + population

# fit poisson regression model for Santiago
fit <- glmmTMB(
  f,
  family = nbinom2,
  data = holland,
  subset = city == "santiago"
)

# summary
summary(fit)
```

Solution

```
# load packages
library(brms)

# fit poisson regression model for Santiago
fit_brm <- brm(
  f,
  family = negbinomial,
  data = subset(holland, city == "santiago") # brm() doesn't have subset
)
```

```
# summary
summary(fit_brm)
```

```
Family: negbinomial
Links: mu = log
Formula: operations ~ lower + vendors + budget + population
Data: subset(holland, city == "santiago") (Number of observations: 34)
Draws: 4 chains, each with iter = 2000; warmup = 1000; thin = 1;
       total post-warmup draws = 4000
```

Regression Coefficients:

	Estimate	Est.Error	l-95% CI	u-95% CI	Rhat	Bulk_ESS	Tail_ESS
Intercept	2.79	2.11	-1.06	7.22	1.00	2308	2059
lower	-0.05	0.03	-0.12	0.00	1.00	2285	2053
vendors	-0.23	0.32	-0.86	0.42	1.00	1918	1985
budget	0.00	0.00	-0.00	0.01	1.00	2537	2164
population	0.04	0.05	-0.04	0.15	1.00	1847	1939

Further Distributional Parameters:

	Estimate	Est.Error	l-95% CI	u-95% CI	Rhat	Bulk_ESS	Tail_ESS
shape	0.31	0.12	0.14	0.59	1.00	1829	1721

Draws were sampled using sampling(NUTS). For each parameter, Bulk_ESS and Tail_ESS are effective sample size measures, and Rhat is the potential scale reduction factor on split chains (at convergence, Rhat = 1).

Exercise 2 Convergence

Using the output above, argue that `warmup` and `iter` are sufficiently large (or not).

Solution.

- **Setup recap**

4 chains; iter = 2000; warmup = 1000; post-warmup = 1000 per chain → 4000 total draws.

- **$\hat{R} \rightarrow$ all parameters near 1.00**

Why it matters: $\hat{R} \approx 1.00$ indicates chains mix to the same target after adaptation.

Evidence: Intercept, lower, vendors, budget, population, and shape all show `Rhat = 1.00`, consistent with well-tuned warmup and sufficient iterations for convergence.

- **Effective sample sizes $\rightarrow$ large**

Why it matters: Bulk_ESS gauges precision of means; Tail_ESS gauges interval tails. Rules of thumb: ESS 2000 per parameter. *Evidence:* Bulk_ESS and Tail_ESS are about 1900 to 2800 across parameters (e.g., lower: Bulk_ESS 2743; shape: 2240),

Exercise 3 `brm()` arguments

Explain the following arguments to `brm()`. Explain what the argument controls and recommend reasonable defaults.

- `chains`
 - `iter`
 - `warmup`
 - `cores`
 - `backend`
-

Solution.

- **chains**

What it controls: Number of independent MCMC chains to run.

Why it matters: Multiple chains start from different initial values, helping check convergence (e.g., via $\hat{R}$).

Reasonable default: `chains = 4`. Fewer if slow, more if diagnostics are uncertain.

- **iter**

What it controls: Total iterations per chain, including warmup.

Why it matters: More iterations yield more effective posterior draws and reduce Monte Carlo error.

Reasonable default: `iter = 2000` (with half used for warmup). Use 4000–8000 for complex models.

- **warmup**

What it controls: Number of iterations per chain used for tuning (e.g., step size, mass matrix) and discarded afterward.

Why it matters: Proper adaptation improves sampling efficiency and stability.

Reasonable default: `warmup = 1000` (half of `iter`).

- **cores**

What it controls: Number of CPU cores used to run chains in parallel.

Why it matters: Parallel chains shorten total runtime.

Reasonable default: `cores = chains`, often with `options(mc.cores = parallel::detectCores())`.

- **backend**

What it controls: The Stan interface used for sampling.

Options: `"cmdstanr"` or `"rstan"`.

Why it matters: Determines compilation speed, diagnostics, and toolchain.

Reasonable default: `"cmdstanr"` (if installed); otherwise defaults to `"rstan"`.

Example

```
fit <- brm(  
  y ~ x1 + x2,  
  data = df,  
  family = gaussian(),  
  chains = 4,  
  iter = 4000,  
  warmup = 2000,  
  cores = 4,  
  backend = "cmdstanr"  
)
```

Exercise 4 {rstan} or {cmdstanr}

Reproduce the fits from Exercise 1 using a `.stan` model via `{rstan}` or `{cmdstanr}`.

Hint: You might want to use `neg_binomial_2_log`—see the Stan docs.

Solution

First, we can create the data objects and list that `{rstan}` needs. You could also use `{cmdstanr}`—the logic is similar.

```
# load packages
library(rstan)

# create data object for stan
holland <- crdata::holland2015
sant <- subset(holland, city == "santiago")

# formula to create design matrix
operations ~ lower + vendors + budget + population

# data for stan
mf <- model.frame(f, data = sant)
X <- model.matrix(f, data = mf)
y <- model.response(mf)
N <- nrow(X)
K <- ncol(X)

# combine data for stan into single list
stan_data <- list(N = N, K = K, X = X, y = y)
```

Now we create the `.stan` file. You can write this in a separate file, but I'm including it as a character string in this document.

```
stan_code <- "
data {
  int<lower=1> N;
  int<lower=0> y[N];
  int<lower=1> K;
  matrix[N, K] X;
}
```

```

}
parameters {
  vector[K] beta;          // regression coefficients (includes intercept)
  real<lower=0> theta;      // shape/overdispersion
}
model {
  // weakly informative priors
  beta ~ normal(0, 5);
  theta ~ exponential(1);   // favors moderate overdispersion, >0

  // likelihood with log link
  y ~ neg_binomial_2_log(X * beta, theta);
}
"

```

```

fit <- stan(model_code = stan_code,
            data = stan_data,
            chains = 10,
            cores = 10,
            warmup = 2000,
            iter = 4000)

```

```
print(fit)
```

Inference for Stan model: anon_model.

10 chains, each with iter=4000; warmup=2000; thin=1;

post-warmup draws per chain=2000, total post-warmup draws=20000.

	mean	se_mean	sd	2.5%	25%	50%	75%	97.5%	n_eff	Rhat
beta[1]	2.37	0.02	1.94	-1.34	1.11	2.31	3.60	6.35	6982	1
beta[2]	-0.05	0.00	0.03	-0.11	-0.07	-0.05	-0.03	0.01	8040	1
beta[3]	-0.24	0.00	0.33	-0.89	-0.44	-0.24	-0.04	0.42	7290	1
beta[4]	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	8082	1
beta[5]	0.04	0.00	0.05	-0.04	0.01	0.04	0.07	0.16	7028	1
theta	0.30	0.00	0.12	0.13	0.22	0.28	0.37	0.59	7864	1
lp__	-66.08	0.03	2.14	-71.33	-67.23	-65.70	-64.50	-63.11	4913	1

Samples were drawn using NUTS(diag_e) at Wed Oct 8 21:23:27 2025.

For each parameter, n_eff is a crude measure of effective sample size, and Rhat is the potential scale reduction factor on split chains (at convergence, Rhat=1).

Exercise 5 Experimenting with difficult posterior

The posterior below is somewhat difficult. The samples generate slowly and the effective sample size is relatively low.

Stan is excellent with default settings, but there are ways to improve efficiency even further for difficult problems.

Here's *one way* to think about efficiency: you want your effective *samples per second* (of your time) to be relatively large. Experiment with the several strategies to increase this measure of efficiency.

1. Rescale the predictors to have mean of zero and SD of one or 0.5. Or perhaps have a range from zero to one.
2. Increase the number of chains run in parallel.
3. Increase the number of iterations (and the warmup).
4. Increase the `adapt_delta` parameter. Search `?brm` for “`adapt_delta`” for more.

These models take a long time to fit, so just experiment and write about what happens. Don't try to fit five different difficult Stan models in a single Quarto document (like I did in the solutions).

```
# load packages
library(brms)
library(posterior)

# load data
hks <- crdata::hks2013

# fit negative binomial model
f <- osvAll ~ troopLag + policeLag + militaryobserversLag +
  brv_AllLag + osvAllLagDum + incomp + epduration +
  lntpop
fit_mcmc <- brm(
  f,
  data = hks,
  family = negbinomial,
  warmup = 5000,
  iter = 10000,
  chains = 4,
  cores = 4)
```

```
# print fit
fit_mcmc
```

```
Family: negbinomial
Links: mu = log
Formula: osvAll ~ troopLag + policeLag + militaryobserversLag + brv_AllLag + osvAllLagDum + incomp + epduration
Data: hks (Number of observations: 3746)
Draws: 4 chains, each with iter = 10000; warmup = 5000; thin = 1;
       total post-warmup draws = 20000
```

Regression Coefficients:

	Estimate	Est.Error	l-95% CI	u-95% CI	Rhat	Bulk_ESS
Intercept	-9.24	0.90	-11.01	-7.48	1.00	15859
troopLag	-0.53	0.07	-0.65	-0.38	1.00	16305
policeLag	-9.92	1.03	-11.91	-7.87	1.00	19495
militaryobserversLag	21.88	1.39	19.32	24.78	1.00	17140
brv_AllLag	0.00	0.00	-0.00	0.00	1.00	22348
osvAllLagDum	2.18	0.18	1.84	2.54	1.00	19066
incomp	2.37	0.18	2.02	2.73	1.00	17325
epduration	-0.00	0.00	-0.00	0.00	1.00	26620
lnpop	0.71	0.08	0.55	0.86	1.00	16803

	Tail_ESS
Intercept	15196
troopLag	13494
policeLag	13354
militaryobserversLag	13133
brv_AllLag	12765
osvAllLagDum	14991
incomp	14748
epduration	15954
lnpop	15186

Further Distributional Parameters:

	Estimate	Est.Error	l-95% CI	u-95% CI	Rhat	Bulk_ESS	Tail_ESS
shape	0.06	0.00	0.05	0.06	1.00	23981	13413

Draws were sampled using sampling(NUTS). For each parameter, Bulk_ESS and Tail_ESS are effective sample size measures, and Rhat is the potential scale reduction factor on split chains (at convergence, Rhat = 1).

How long it took.

We can grab the time (in seconds) it took to obtain the samples from the `fit` component of `fit_mcmc`.

```
# time (in seconds) it took to samples for each chain
times <- get_elapsed_time(fit_mcmc$fit)
times
```

```

      warmup sample
chain:1 24.911 16.318
chain:2 24.049 16.093
chain:3 25.260 14.937
chain:4 23.277 14.928

```

```

# find max of warmup + samples
t <- max(apply(times, 1, sum))
t

```

```
[1] 41.229
```

How many (effective) samples we generated.

We can compute the ESS using the `effective_samples()` function in `{bayestestR}` package. We need a way to think about the ESS overall, so I just averaged the bulk ESS across the parameters.

```

# load package; some helpful things here!
library(bayestestR)

# compute ess for each parameter
ess <- effective_sample(fit_mcmc)
ess

```

	Parameter	ESS	ESS_tail
1	b_Intercept	15859	15196
2	b_troopLag	16305	13494
3	b_policeLag	19495	13354
4	b_militaryobserversLag	17140	13133
5	b_brv_AllLag	22348	12765
6	b_osvAllLagDum	19066	14991
7	b_incomp	17325	14748
8	b_epduration	26620	15954
9	b_lntpop	16803	15186

```

# compute the average ess for a single number
avg_ess <- mean(ess$ESS)
avg_ess

```

```
[1] 18995.67
```

Effective samples per second.

And then we can compute the ratio—effective samples per second.

```
avg_ess/t
```

```
[1] 460.7356
```

To make this easy, we can make a function to compute the effective samples per second.

```
sps <- function(fit) {  
  times <- get_elapsed_time(fit$fit)  
  t <- max(apply(times, 1, sum))  
  ess <- effective_sample(fit)  
  avg_ess <- mean(ess$ESS)  
  list("sps" = avg_ess/t,  
       "ess" = ess,  
       "times" = times)  
}
```

Solution.

Strategy 1: Rescale predictors.

```
rs <- \(x) arm::rescale(x) # alias  
  
f_rs <- osvAll ~ rs(troopLag) + rs(policeLag) + rs(militaryobserversLag) +  
  rs(brv_AllLag) + rs(osvAllLagDum) + rs(incomp) + rs(epduration) +  
  rs(lntpop)  
  
fit_mcmc_1 <- brm(  
  f_rs,  
  data = hks,  
  family = negbinomial,  
  warmup = 5000,  
  iter = 10000,  
  chains = 4,  
  cores = 4)
```

```
print(fit_mcmc_1)
```

```
Family: negbinomial
Links: mu = log
Formula: osvAll ~ rs(troopLag) + rs(policeLag) + rs(militaryobserversLag) + rs(brv_AllLag) +
  Data: hks (Number of observations: 3746)
Draws: 4 chains, each with iter = 10000; warmup = 5000; thin = 1;
       total post-warmup draws = 20000
```

Regression Coefficients:

	Estimate	Est.Error	l-95% CI	u-95% CI	Rhat	Bulk_ESS
Intercept	1.85	0.07	1.71	1.99	1.00	28039
rstroopLag	-3.00	0.39	-3.72	-2.21	1.00	17783
rspoliceLag	-2.81	0.29	-3.37	-2.25	1.00	22015
rsmilitaryobserversLag	4.63	0.29	4.09	5.23	1.00	18673
rsbrv_AllLag	0.24	0.20	-0.08	0.69	1.00	24885
rsosvAllLagDum	2.18	0.18	1.84	2.54	1.00	22628
rsincomp	2.37	0.18	2.01	2.73	1.00	19971
rsepduration	-0.06	0.16	-0.38	0.25	1.00	24508
rslntpop	1.70	0.19	1.34	2.08	1.00	18671

Tail_ESS

Intercept	15326
rstroopLag	14222
rspoliceLag	15343
rsmilitaryobserversLag	14388
rsbrv_AllLag	12599
rsosvAllLagDum	15126
rsincomp	15961
rsepduration	15069
rslntpop	16226

Further Distributional Parameters:

	Estimate	Est.Error	l-95% CI	u-95% CI	Rhat	Bulk_ESS	Tail_ESS
shape	0.06	0.00	0.05	0.06	1.00	30409	13525

Draws were sampled using sampling(NUTS). For each parameter, Bulk_ESS and Tail_ESS are effective sample size measures, and Rhat is the potential scale reduction factor on split chains (at convergence, Rhat = 1).

```
sps(fit_mcmc_1)
```

```
$sps
```

```
[1] 731.5384
```

```
$ess
```

	Parameter	ESS	ESS_tail
1	b_Intercept	28039	15326
2	b_rstroopLag	17783	14222
3	b_rspoliceLag	22015	15343
4	b_rsmilitaryobserversLag	18673	14388
5	b_rsbrv_AllLag	24885	12599
6	b_rsosvAllLagDum	22628	15126
7	b_rsincomp	19971	15961
8	b_rsepduration	24508	15069
9	b_rslntpop	18671	16226

```
$times
```

	warmup	sample
chain:1	13.817	15.459
chain:2	13.814	15.382
chain:3	14.073	15.875
chain:4	13.920	14.975

Strategy 2: Increase number of chains.

I have 12 cores on my computer, so I can easily run 10 chains in parallel rather than just 4.

```
fit_mcmc_2 <- brm(  
  f,  
  data = hks,  
  family = negbinomial,  
  warmup = 5000,  
  iter = 10000,  
  chains = 10,  
  cores = 10)
```

```
print(fit_mcmc_2)
```

```
Family: negbinomial  
Links: mu = log  
Formula: osvAll ~ troopLag + policeLag + militaryobserversLag + brv_AllLag + osvAllLagDum + :  
Data: hks (Number of observations: 3746)  
Draws: 10 chains, each with iter = 10000; warmup = 5000; thin = 1;  
total post-warmup draws = 50000
```

Regression Coefficients:

	Estimate	Est.Error	l-95% CI	u-95% CI	Rhat	Bulk_ESS
Intercept	-9.25	0.90	-11.00	-7.49	1.00	38731
troopLag	-0.52	0.07	-0.65	-0.39	1.00	38221
policeLag	-9.91	1.03	-11.92	-7.87	1.00	48866
militaryobserversLag	21.86	1.39	19.29	24.76	1.00	39779
brv_AllLag	0.00	0.00	-0.00	0.00	1.00	55803
osvAllLagDum	2.18	0.18	1.84	2.54	1.00	49898
incomp	2.37	0.18	2.01	2.73	1.00	43268
epduration	-0.00	0.00	-0.00	0.00	1.00	67050
lnpop	0.71	0.08	0.55	0.86	1.00	41010
	Tail_ESS					
Intercept	37004					
troopLag	33545					
policeLag	36044					
militaryobserversLag	32436					
brv_AllLag	32597					
osvAllLagDum	37203					
incomp	38170					
epduration	38535					
lnpop	38777					

Further Distributional Parameters:

	Estimate	Est.Error	l-95% CI	u-95% CI	Rhat	Bulk_ESS	Tail_ESS
shape	0.06	0.00	0.05	0.06	1.00	61988	34517

Draws were sampled using sampling(NUTS). For each parameter, Bulk_ESS and Tail_ESS are effective sample size measures, and Rhat is the potential scale reduction factor on split chains (at convergence, Rhat = 1).

```
sps(fit_mcmc_2)
```

```
$sps
[1] 773.9212
```

```
$ess
      Parameter  ESS ESS_tail
1      b_Intercept 38731   37004
2      b_troopLag 38221   33545
3      b_policeLag 48866   36044
4 b_militaryobserversLag 39779   32436
```

5	b_brv_AllLag	55803	32597
6	b_osvAllLagDum	49898	37203
7	b_incomp	43268	38170
8	b_epduration	67050	38535
9	b_lntpop	41010	38777

\$times

	warmup	sample
chain:1	34.531	18.802
chain:2	27.380	18.519
chain:3	32.864	18.162
chain:4	30.934	21.190
chain:5	33.360	19.183
chain:6	37.766	18.798
chain:7	31.525	20.034
chain:8	45.077	15.599
chain:9	28.715	20.044
chain:10	33.433	19.729

Strategy 3: Increase number iterations.

```
fit_mcmc_3 <- brm(
  f,
  data = hks,
  family = negbinomial,
  warmup = 25000, # up from 5000
  iter = 50000, # up from 10000
  chains = 4,
  cores = 4)
```

```
print(fit_mcmc_3)
```

```
Family: negbinomial
Links: mu = log
Formula: osvAll ~ troopLag + policeLag + militaryobserversLag + brv_AllLag + osvAllLagDum + :
Data: hks (Number of observations: 3746)
Draws: 4 chains, each with iter = 50000; warmup = 25000; thin = 1;
       total post-warmup draws = 100000
```

Regression Coefficients:

	Estimate	Est.Error	1-95% CI	u-95% CI	Rhat	Bulk_ESS
Intercept	-9.24	0.89	-11.00	-7.48	1.00	91071

troopLag	-0.52	0.07	-0.65	-0.38	1.00	92192
policeLag	-9.92	1.03	-11.92	-7.88	1.00	108164
militaryobserversLag	21.86	1.39	19.27	24.74	1.00	96274
brv_AllLag	0.00	0.00	-0.00	0.00	1.00	125038
osvAllLagDum	2.18	0.18	1.84	2.54	1.00	114213
incomp	2.37	0.18	2.02	2.73	1.00	102982
epduration	-0.00	0.00	-0.00	0.00	1.00	127937
lnpop	0.71	0.08	0.55	0.86	1.00	92940

Tail_ESS

Intercept	75765
troopLag	72243
policeLag	75024
militaryobserversLag	70195
brv_AllLag	62178
osvAllLagDum	76294
incomp	80545
epduration	78556
lnpop	80919

Further Distributional Parameters:

	Estimate	Est.Error	l-95% CI	u-95% CI	Rhat	Bulk_ESS	Tail_ESS
shape	0.06	0.00	0.05	0.06	1.00	141358	68553

Draws were sampled using sampling(NUTS). For each parameter, Bulk_ESS and Tail_ESS are effective sample size measures, and Rhat is the potential scale reduction factor on split chains (at convergence, Rhat = 1).

```
sps(fit_mcmc_3)
```

```
$sps
```

```
[1] 686.4343
```

```
$ess
```

	Parameter	ESS	ESS_tail
1	b_Intercept	91071	75765
2	b_troopLag	92192	72243
3	b_policeLag	108164	75024
4	b_militaryobserversLag	96274	70195
5	b_brv_AllLag	125038	62178
6	b_osvAllLagDum	114213	76294
7	b_incomp	102982	80545
8	b_epduration	127937	78556

```
9                b_lntpop  92940    80919
```

```
$times
```

```
      warmup sample
chain:1 77.060 72.825
chain:2 78.650 75.255
chain:3 74.990 77.939
chain:4 75.004 74.534
```

Strategy 4a: Increase adapt_delta.

```
fit_mcmc_4a <- brm(
  f,
  data = hks,
  family = negbinomial,
  warmup = 5000,
  iter = 10000,
  control = list(adapt_delta = 0.95), # from default of 0.8
  chains = 4,
  cores = 4)
```

```
print(fit_mcmc_4a)
```

Warning: Parts of the model have not converged (some Rhats are > 1.05). Be careful when analysing the results! We recommend running more iterations and/or setting stronger priors.

Warning: There were 142 divergent transitions after warmup. Increasing adapt_delta above 0.95 may help. See <http://mc-stan.org/misc/warnings.html#divergent-transitions-after-warmup>

```
Family: negbinomial
Links: mu = log
Formula: osvAll ~ troopLag + policeLag + militaryobserversLag + brv_AllLag + osvAllLagDum + :
Data: hks (Number of observations: 3746)
Draws: 4 chains, each with iter = 10000; warmup = 5000; thin = 1;
       total post-warmup draws = 20000
```

Regression Coefficients:

	Estimate	Est.Error	1-95% CI	u-95% CI	Rhat	Bulk_ESS
Intercept	-3.35	16.81	-10.89	74.40	1.59	7

troopLag	-0.29	0.45	-0.64	0.78	1.59	7
policeLag	-7.84	3.71	-11.79	-1.56	1.57	7
militaryobserversLag	16.23	9.86	-0.87	24.49	1.59	7
brv_AllLag	0.01	0.04	-0.00	0.19	1.17	16
osvAllLagDum	2.04	0.30	1.58	2.51	1.53	7
incomp	2.09	0.52	1.09	2.71	1.59	7
epduration	-0.05	0.23	-1.18	0.00	1.59	7
lntpop	0.46	0.43	-0.35	0.85	1.59	7

Tail_ESS

Intercept	11
troopLag	11
policeLag	17
militaryobserversLag	11
brv_AllLag	11
osvAllLagDum	12
incomp	11
epduration	11
lntpop	12

Further Distributional Parameters:

	Estimate	Est.Error	l-95% CI	u-95% CI	Rhat	Bulk_ESS	Tail_ESS
shape	0.53	1.35	0.06	5.06	1.59	7	11

Draws were sampled using sampling(NUTS). For each parameter, Bulk_ESS and Tail_ESS are effective sample size measures, and Rhat is the potential scale reduction factor on split chains (at convergence, Rhat = 1).

```
sps(fit_mcmc_4a)
```

```
$sps
```

```
[1] 0.01249941
```

```
$ess
```

	Parameter	ESS	ESS_tail
1	b_Intercept	7	11
2	b_troopLag	7	11
3	b_policeLag	7	17
4	b_militaryobserversLag	7	11
5	b_brv_AllLag	16	11
6	b_osvAllLagDum	7	12
7	b_incomp	7	11
8	b_epduration	7	11

9 b_lntpop 7 12

\$times

```
      warmup  sample
chain:1 37.905  17.967
chain:2 38.103  17.417
chain:3 49.754 590.276
chain:4 36.801  21.631
```

Strategy 4b: Increase adapt_delta even more.

```
fit_mcmc_4b <- brm(
  f,
  data = hks,
  family = negbinomial,
  warmup = 5000,
  iter = 10000,
  control = list(adapt_delta = 0.99), # from default of 0.8
  chains = 4,
  cores = 4)
```

```
print(fit_mcmc_4b)
```

```
Family: negbinomial
Links: mu = log
Formula: osvAll ~ troopLag + policeLag + militaryobserversLag + brv_AllLag + osvAllLagDum + :
Data: hks (Number of observations: 3746)
Draws: 4 chains, each with iter = 10000; warmup = 5000; thin = 1;
       total post-warmup draws = 20000
```

Regression Coefficients:

	Estimate	Est.Error	l-95% CI	u-95% CI	Rhat	Bulk_ESS
Intercept	-9.23	0.90	-11.01	-7.47	1.00	14424
troopLag	-0.52	0.07	-0.65	-0.39	1.00	14702
policeLag	-9.92	1.03	-11.94	-7.89	1.00	17536
militaryobserversLag	21.86	1.39	19.30	24.72	1.00	14834
brv_AllLag	0.00	0.00	-0.00	0.00	1.00	23535
osvAllLagDum	2.18	0.18	1.84	2.54	1.00	17075
incomp	2.37	0.18	2.01	2.73	1.00	15979
epduration	-0.00	0.00	-0.00	0.00	1.00	24789
lntpop	0.70	0.08	0.55	0.86	1.00	15241
	Tail_ESS					

Intercept	14051
troopLag	13096
policeLag	14737
militaryobserversLag	14047
brv_AllLag	12601
osvAllLagDum	15297
incomp	14870
epduration	14797
lntpop	14638

Further Distributional Parameters:

	Estimate	Est.Error	l-95% CI	u-95% CI	Rhat	Bulk_ESS	Tail_ESS
shape	0.06	0.00	0.05	0.06	1.00	21713	13665

Draws were sampled using sampling(NUTS). For each parameter, Bulk_ESS and Tail_ESS are effective sample size measures, and Rhat is the potential scale reduction factor on split chains (at convergence, Rhat = 1).

```
sps(fit_mcmc_4b)
```

```
$sps
```

```
[1] 196.7845
```

```
$ess
```

	Parameter	ESS	ESS_tail
1	b_Intercept	14424	14051
2	b_troopLag	14702	13096
3	b_policeLag	17536	14737
4	b_militaryobserversLag	14834	14047
5	b_brv_AllLag	23535	12601
6	b_osvAllLagDum	17075	15297
7	b_incomp	15979	14870
8	b_epduration	24789	14797
9	b_lntpop	15241	14638

```
$times
```

	warmup	sample
chain:1	57.148	30.738
chain:2	56.674	30.355
chain:3	57.012	32.265
chain:4	53.174	30.492